

August 30, 2013

Ralph Rodriguez, L.I.D. Administrator City of Tacoma 747 Market Street Room 620 Tacoma WA 98402 Paul E. Miller LeMay – America's Car Museum PO Box 1117 Tacoma WA 98401

Re: Assessment Roll - Local Improvement District No. 7729

Enclosed please find your copy of the Hearing Examiner's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Recommendation to the Tacoma City Council in regard to the above referenced matter as the result of a hearing held on August 20, 2013.

Sincerely,

Louisa Legg Legal Assistant

Enclosure

cc: City Clerk, City of Tacoma

Liz Wheeler, Customer Service Rep. Tech., Finance Department, City of Tacoma

**CERTIFICATION** 

On this day, I forwarded a true and accurate copy of the documents to which this certificate is affixed via United States Postal Service postage prepaid or via delivery through City of Tacoma Mail Services to the parties or attorneys of record herein. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED \_ QUEST 30, 2013, at Tacoma, W.

OFFICE OF THE HEARING EXAMINER

CITY OF TACOMA

2

1

3

In the Matter of:

NO. 7729

LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

otherwise fully advised, makes the following:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND RECOMMENDATION (ASSESSMENT ROLL)

A PUBLIC HEARING in the above-captioned matter was held on August 20, 2013, before PHYLLIS K. MACLEOD, the Hearing Examiner for the City of Tacoma. The City of Tacoma appeared through Ralph Rodriguez, Local Improvement District (L.I.D.)

Administrator for the City's Department of Public Works. The owner being assessed, Harold E. LeMay Museum, appeared through Paul Miller. The Hearing Examiner, having considered the evidence presented, having reviewed the records and files in the case, and being

#### **FINDINGS OF FACT:**

1. On June 7, 2011, the Tacoma City Council adopted Ordinance No. 27993, which provided for the formation of L.I.D. No. 7729. Ordinance No. 27993 called for the installation of underground primary electrical distribution, utilities, telephone, and cable TV lines within utility easements parallel with the northerly lot line of Parcel "A" and Parcel "B" Boundary

FINDINGS OF FACT,
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW,
AND RECOMMENDATION - L.I.D. No. 7729
(ASSESSMENT ROLL)
-1-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The hearing in the matter was rescheduled from July 11, 2013 to August 20, 2013, by agreement of the parties. The sole abutting property owner, America's Car Museum (Harold E. LeMay Museum), requested the postponement of the hearing to meet with City staff to discuss the increase in the final project cost for relating L.I.D. No. 5729.

Line Adjustment MPD 2009-40000137475, recorded under Pierce County Auditor's Fee Number 2010-05-11-5001 and also along the westerly lot line of Parcel "A", together with all other work necessary to complete the project in accordance with maps, plans, and specifications prepared and on file in the Office of the Director of Public Works. Ordinance No. 27993 is incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth. *Ex.* 5.

- 2. The Assessment Roll for L.I.D. No. 7729 was filed in the Office of the City Clerk on May 24, 2013, and the same shows the amount assessed against each lot and parcel of land in payment of the cost and expense of the improvements previously referred to, and said roll has been opened for inspection by all parties interested therein. *Rodriguez Testimony*.
- 3. Pursuant to applicable laws and the direction of the Tacoma City Council, a public hearing on the Assessment Roll was held on August 20, 2013.
- 4. The Notice of the Assessment Roll Hearing was published as required by law, and an Affidavit of Publication has been introduced into evidence. *Ex.2*. All procedures, as provided for by law with respect to adoption of the Assessment Roll, have been taken including, but not limited to, mailing of the Notice of Hearing on June 19, 2013, to the owners or reputed owners of all lots, tracts, and parcels of land or other property to be specially benefited. *Ex. 3*.
- 5. Ralph Rodriguez, L.I.D. Administrator for the City's Department of Public Works, testified that the improvements have been completed in accordance with the plans and specifications for such work. The estimated rate per Assessable Unit of Frontage (AUF) was \$788.30 compared to the final AUF of \$781.96. The final project cost is \$233,110.70

FINDINGS OF FACT,
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW,
AND RECOMMENDATION - L.I.D. No. 7729
(ASSESSMENT ROLL) - 2 -

compared to the estimated project cost of \$235,000. The final total assessed to the property owner is \$233,110.70. This is a 10-year Assessment Roll. *Rodriguez Testimony; Ex. 1*.

- 6. A zone & termini formula was used to estimate the L.I.D. assessment. *Rodriguez Testimony*.
- 7. The fair cash market value of the property benefited by L.I.D. No. 7729 has been increased in an amount equal to or greater than the assessments. *Rodriguez Testimony*.
- 8. No owner of property within L.I.D. No. 7729 appeared to contest the assessments. The only assessed property owner testified that the Harold E. LeMay Museum was satisfied with the assessment roll. *Miller Testimony*.
- 9. The verbatim digital recording in the referred-to matter is in the custody of the Examiner's Office, and the file is in the custody of the City Clerk; and both are available for review by the Council and any party in interest.
- 10. Any Conclusion of Law hereinafter stated which may be deemed to be properly considered a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such.

From these Findings of Fact the Hearing Examiner makes the following:

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

- 1. The Department of Public Works has complied with all applicable laws with respect to approval and confirmation of the Assessment Roll for L.I.D. No. 7729.
- 2. An improvement constructed under an L.I.D. is presumed to benefit properties within the L.I.D. on an equitable basis, and the assessments are presumed to have been made

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND RECOMMENDATION - L.I.D. No. 7729 (ASSESSMENT ROLL) - 3 -

3. The proposed Assessment Roll conforms to applicable legal requirements, and there is no evidence that the methodology used to substantiate the assessment was incorrect. Accordingly, the City Council should adopt an ordinance assessing the property owner for benefits conferred under L.I.D. No. 7729, previously created by the City Council, and the Assessment Roll for L.I.D. No. 7729 should be confirmed and approved.

4. Any Finding of Fact hereinbefore stated which may be deemed to be properly considered a Conclusion of Law is hereby adopted as such.

From the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law the Hearing Examiner enters this:

22

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND RECOMMENDATION - L.I.D. No. 7729 (ASSESSMENT ROLL) - 4 -



### **RECOMMENDATION:**

It is the recommendation of the Hearing Examiner that the Assessment Roll for L.I.D.

No. 7729 be confirmed and approved.

**DATED** this 30<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2013.

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND RECOMMENDATION - L.I.D. No. 7729 (ASSESSMENT ROLL)

City of Tacoma Office of the Hearing Examiner Tacoma Municipal Building 747 Market Street, Room 720 Tacoma, WA 98402-3768 (253)591-5195 FAX (253)591-2003

### 2

# 3

# 4

# 5 6

# 7

#### . 8

# 9

### 10

## 11

# 12

## 13

### 14

# 15

### 16

# 17

# 18

# 19

## 20

# 21

### 22

### NOTICE

### RECONSIDERATION/APPEAL OF EXAMINER'S RECOMMENDATION

### **RECONSIDERATION:**

Any aggrieved person or entity having standing under the ordinance governing the matter, or as otherwise provided by law, may file a motion with the Office of the Hearing Examiner requesting reconsideration of a decision or recommendation entered by the Hearing Examiner. A motion for reconsideration must be in writing and must set forth the alleged errors of procedure, fact, or law and must be filed in the Office of the Hearing Examiner within 14 calendar days of the issuance of the Hearing Examiner's decision/recommendation, not counting the day of issuance of the decision/recommendation. If the last day for filing the motion for reconsideration falls on a weekend day or a holiday, the last day for filing shall be the next working day. The requirements set forth herein regarding the time limits for filing of motions for reconsideration and contents of such motions are jurisdictional. Accordingly, motions for reconsideration that are not timely filed with the Office of the Hearing Examiner or do not set forth the alleged errors shall be dismissed by the Hearing Examiner. It shall be within the sole discretion of the Examiner to determine whether an opportunity shall be given to other parties for response to a motion for reconsideration. The Hearing Examiner, after a review of the matter, shall take such further action as he/she deems appropriate, which may include the issuance of a revised decision/recommendation. (Tacoma Municipal Code 1.23.140)

### APPEALS TO CITY COUNCIL OF EXAMINER'S RECOMMENDATION:

Within 14 days of the issuance of the Hearing Examiner's final recommendation, any aggrieved person or entity having standing under the ordinance governing such application and feeling that the recommendation of the Hearing Examiner is based on errors of procedure, fact or law shall have the right to appeal the recommendation of the Hearing Examiner by filing written notice of appeal and filing fee with the City Clerk, stating the reasons the Hearing Examiner's recommendation was in error.

# APPEALS SHALL BE REVIEWED AND ACTED UPON BY THE CITY COUNCIL IN ACCORDANCE WITH TMC 1.70.

#### **GENERAL PROCEDURES FOR APPEAL:**

The Official Code of the City of Tacoma contains certain procedures for appeal, and while not listing all of these procedures here, you should be aware of the following items which are essential to your appeal. Any answers to questions on the proper procedure for appeal may be found in the City Code sections heretofore cited:

- 1. The written request for review shall also state where the Examiner's findings or conclusions were in error.
- 2. Any person who desires a copy of the electronic recording must pay the cost of reproducing the verbatim recording. If a person desires a written transcript, he or she shall arrange for transcription and pay the cost thereof.

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND RECOMMENDATION - L.I.D. No. 7729 (ASSESSMENT ROLL) - 6 -