

# Dome Link Extension

### **TACOMA DOME**

### Level 2 Technical Evaluation: Notable Advantages and Disadvantages

The purpose of the information provided in the table below is to compare light rail segment alternatives to each other for public review and comment. This table details key takeaways from the technical evaluation for route and station alternatives in the Tacoma Dome station area. Alternatives are separated into two initial groups, those demonstrating more potential and those with greater challenges, based on the technical evaluation.

Alternatives	Technical Analysis
Alternatives with M	ORE POTENTIAL
Sell of Merchan Colors	Notable Advantages:
	Highest station access for people walking, biking, taking transit or driving
TD 2	Close to other transit modes for ease of transfer (closest proximity to Tacoma Link)
102	<ul> <li>Zoning and nearby amenities offer greater potential for housing and business development near station</li> </ul>
	Higher ridership potential
25th Street West	Notable Disadvantages:
	<ul> <li>Higher potential impacts to businesses that are major economic activity generators along 25th Street</li> </ul>
	Highest preliminary estimate <sup>1</sup>
	Notable Advantages:
	Higher ridership than TD 4 alternatives
TD 3	Fewer potential property impacts
	Moderate rating for multimodal access (close to buses, but far from Tacoma Link)
25th Street East	Notable Disadvantages:
	<ul> <li>Lower support for potential development opportunities near station due to location in a light industrial zoning district</li> </ul>
Alternatives with G	REATER CHALLENGES
	Notable Advantages:
	Higher ridership potential
TD 1	Close to other transit modes for ease of transfer
Puyallup	Zoning and nearby amenities offer greater potential for housing and business development near station
Avenue	Notable Disadvantages:
71101120	Higher potential impacts to businesses that are major economic activity generators along Puyallup Avenue
	Long-term, future extension to Tacoma Mall is most difficult
	Notable Advantages:
TD 4	Long-term, future extension to Tacoma Mall is easier
TD 4	Lowest preliminary estimate <sup>1</sup>
East off-street	Notable Disadvantages:
E 26th Street	Farther from multi-modal connections (majority of buses)
	More difficult car access
	<ul> <li>Lower support for potential development opportunities near station due to surrounding land uses, fewer nearby amenities, and</li> </ul>
	proximity to civic amenities and associated parking
	Potential for impacts to tribal properties
	Notable Advantages:
TD 4	Long-term, future extension to Tacoma Mall is easier
	Fewer potential property potential impacts
East in-street	Notable Disadvantages:
E 26th Street	Farthest from multi-modal connections (Tacoma Link and bus)  Many difficult corporate
	More difficult car access  Lower support for potential development apportunities poor station due to surrounding land uses fower poor by amonities, and
	<ul> <li>Lower support for potential development opportunities near station due to surrounding land uses, fewer nearby amenities, and proximity to civic amenities and associated parking</li> </ul>
	Notable Advantages:
	Long-term, future extension to Tacoma Mall is easier
TD 4	Notable Disadvantages:
West	Farther from multi-modal connection points (Tacoma Link)
E 26th Street to	More difficult car access
E 27th Street	<ul> <li>Lower support for potential development opportunities near station due to surrounding land uses, fewer nearby amenities, and</li> </ul>
	proximity to civic amenities and associated parking
	Higher number of potential property impacts; potential impacts to tribal property and potential historic and cultural resources
	Notable Advantages:
TD4	Similar advantages to other TD 4 West alternative (shares same station location)
TD 4	Notable Disadvantages:
West	Farther from multi-modal connection points (Tacoma Link)
E 27th Street	More difficult car access
	Lower support for potential development opportunities near station due to surrounding land uses, fewer nearby amenities, and
A	proximity to civic amenities and parking
	Potential impacts to two tribal properties
<sup>1</sup> Preliminary estimates	are not the project's budget. They are for use as comparisons between alternatives.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Preliminary estimates are not the project's budget. They are for use as comparisons between alternatives.



# Dome Link Extension

## **EAST TACOMA**

## Level 2 Technical Evaluation: Notable Advantages and Disadvantages

The purpose of the information provided in the table below is to compare light rail segment alternatives to each other for public review and comment. This table details key takeaways from the technical evaluation for route and station alternatives in the East Tacoma station area. Alternatives are separated into two initial groups, those demonstrating more potential and those with greater challenges, based on the technical evaluation.

Alternatives	Technical Analysis
Alternatives with MO	DRE POTENTIAL
Control of the State of the Control of the State of the S	Notable Advantages:
	Closest to destinations and neighborhood south of I-5
ET 3A	Fewer non-motorized barriers to access; better access to multi-modal connections
	<ul> <li>More existing and potential development opportunity south of I-5 within walking distance</li> </ul>
E 26th Street to	Notable Disadvantages:
E 25th Street	Highest preliminary estimate <sup>1</sup>
Alternatives with GRA	EATER CHALLENGES
	Notable Advantages:
	Closest to existing transit connections (bus)
ET 1	Notable Disadvantages:
	Lower ridership potential
Puyallup Avenue	<ul> <li>Farther from destinations south of I-5; more non-motorized barriers, and more difficult vehicular access</li> </ul>
	Highest potential property impacts
	Higher potential for additional freight delay
	Notable Advantages:
ET 2	<ul> <li>None compared to other alternatives in the criteria measured</li> </ul>
El 2	Notable Disadvantages:
	Lower ridership
E 25th Street	More barriers for pedestrians and bicyclists
	Farther from destinations south of I-5
	Difficult vehicular access
	Higher potential for additional freight delay
	Notable Advantages:
ET 3B	<ul> <li>Similar advantages to ET 3A in being close to neighborhood and destinations south of I-5 (shares same station location)</li> </ul>
E I OD	Lowest preliminary estimate <sup>1</sup>
	Notable Disadvantages:
26th Street East	Connects to TD 4 East and since TD 4 East is more challenging alternative, this alternative is more challenging
	Notable Advantages:
ET 5	Fewest businesses potentially impacted  Notable Disastrates
	Notable Disadvantages:
	Farthest distance to nearest bus stops
E 27th Street	Notable 4 to the second
	Notable Advantages:
ET 6	<ul> <li>None compared to other alternatives in the criteria measured</li> <li>Notable Disadvantages:</li> </ul>
Control of the last	A. Deres III
26th Street West	
26th Street West	Higher potential for additional freight delay     Farther from destinations and neighborhood south of L.5.
	Farther from destinations and neighborhood south of I-5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Preliminary estimates are not the project's budget. They are for use as comparisons between alternatives.



# **Tacoma Dome Link Extension**

#### FIFE

# Level 2 Technical Evaluation: Notable Advantages and Disadvantages

The purpose of the information provided in the table below is to compare light rail segment alternatives to each other for public review and comment. This table details key takeaways from the technical evaluation for route and station alternatives in the Fife station area. Alternatives are separated into two initial groups, those demonstrating more potential and those with greater challenges, based on the technical evaluation.

Alternatives	Technical Analysis		
Alternatives with MORE	Alternatives with MORE POTENTIAL		
Fife 3A	Notable Advantages:  Location in planned City Center indicates greater support for potential development opportunities near station  Lower potential impacts to natural environment		
North of 15th Street (I-5)	<ul> <li>Lower potential property impacts</li> <li>Lowest preliminary estimate<sup>1</sup></li> <li>Notable Disadvantages:</li> <li>None compared to other alternatives in the criteria measured</li> </ul>		
Fife 3B	Notable Advantages:  Similar advantages to Fife 3A (shares same station location)  Notable Disadvantages:  Higher potential property impacts due to alignment location on Pacific Highway		
North of 15th Street (SR 99)			
Alternatives with GREAT	TER CHALLENGES		
Fife 1	Notable Advantages:  Better car access Notable Disadvantages: Lower ridership potential		
12th Street	<ul> <li>Zoning and a limited road network north of station indicate less support for potential development opportunities near station</li> <li>Higher potential ecosystem impacts</li> <li>Higher potential impacts to major economic activity generators</li> <li>Highest preliminary estimate¹</li> </ul>		
Fife 4A	Notable Advantages:  Location in planned City Center indicates greater support for potential development opportunities near station  Lowest preliminary estimate <sup>1</sup> Notable Disadvantages:		
South of 15th Street (I-5)	<ul> <li>Greatest potential residential property impacts (including Rainier View Senior Apartments)</li> <li>More difficult car access</li> <li>Higher potential impacts to freight movement</li> </ul>		
Fife 4B	Notable Advantages:  Same advantage as Fife 4A (shares same station location)  Notable Disadvantages:  Higher potential property impacts due to alignment on Pacific Highway and recidential property impacts (including Painier		
South of 15th Street (SR 99)	<ul> <li>Higher potential property impacts due to alignment on Pacific Highway and residential property impacts (including Rainier View Senior Apartments)</li> <li>Higher potential effects on freight movement</li> </ul>		
	I Money be a superior and a superior		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Preliminary estimates are not the project's budget. They are for use as comparisons between alternatives.



# **Tacoma Dome Link Extension**

#### **SOUTH FEDERAL WAY**

### Level 2 Technical Evaluation: Notable Advantages and Disadvantages

The purpose of the information provided in the table below is to compare light rail segment alternatives to each other. This table details key takeaways from the current technical evaluation for route and station alternatives in the South Federal Way station area. Alternatives are separated into two initial groups, those demonstrating more potential and those with greater challenges, based on the technical analysis.

Alternatives	Technical Analysis
Aitematives with	MORE POTENTIAL
SF 2 West Enchanted/352nd	<ul> <li>Notable Advantages:</li> <li>More favorable conditions for potential development opportunities near station due to having more overall land that could potentially redevelop, and more nearby amenities near the station</li> <li>Better multi-modal station access (good pedestrian infrastructure)</li> <li>Notable Disadvantages:</li> </ul>
	Greater construction challenges
	Notable Advantages:
SF 8/9 I-5/356th and I-5/Jet	Lower potential property impacts
	Lowest preliminary estimate <sup>1</sup>
	Notable Disadvantages:
	Lower ridership potential than SF 4 station
	<ul> <li>Less favorable conditions for potential development opportunities near station due to proximity to I-5, topographic and other barriers, and fewer nearby amenities</li> </ul>
	Farther from bus service
	Higher potential impacts to ecosystems
	Notable Advantages:
SF 4C	<ul> <li>Higher ridership potential</li> <li>More favorable conditions for potential development opportunities near station due to having more overall land that could</li> </ul>
	potentially redevelop, and more amenities near the station
SR 99 North	Closest to bus service and existing underutilized Park & Ride at 348th Street (could provide additional parking for Link riders)
(I-5 to SR 99)	Notable Disadvantages:
	More difficult car access
	Higher potential property impacts (though less than SF 4A and SF 4B)
	Higher potential impacts to ecosystems
	Notable Advantages:
SF 4D	<ul> <li>Similar advantages to other SF 4 alternatives (shares same station location)</li> <li>Notable Disadvantages:</li> </ul>
TATE OF THE PARTY	More difficult car access
SR 99 North	Higher potential property impacts (though less than other SF 4 alternatives)
(I-5 to SR 99 to I-5)	Greater construction challenges, due to station construction over
Alternatives with	GREATER CHALLENGES
	Notable Advantages:
CEO	Moderate ridership potential
SF 2	Fewer potential property impacts than SF 4 alternatives
East	Notable Disadvantages:
Enchanted/352nd	Potential impacts Costco gas station and other properties on east side of Enchanted Parkway
	Moderately less favorable conditions for potential development opportunities near the station due to proximity to  1.5. compared to SE 2 West.
	I-5, compared to SF 2 West  Notable Advantages:
	Similar to SF 2 West, but like SF 2 East, runs on east side of Enchanted Parkway
SF 3 Enchanted/356th	Better vehicular access
	Fewer property acquisitions than SF 4 family
	Notable Disadvantages:
	Lesser rating for pedestrian and bike access
	<ul> <li>Less favorable conditions for potential development opportunities near the station due to proximity to I-5, topographic and other barriers, and fewer nearby amenities</li> </ul>
	Notable Advantages:
SF 4A	Similar advantages:     Similar advantages to other SF 4 alternatives (shares same station location)  Notable Disadvantages:
	Highest potential property impacts
SR 99 North (SR 99 to I-5)	More difficult car access
	Greater construction challenges, due to guideway parallel to high voltage transmission lines and wide crossing over SR 99 at 327th
	Notable Advantages:
SF 4B	<ul> <li>Similar advantages to other SF 4 alternatives (shares same station location)</li> <li>Notable Disadvantages:</li> </ul>
SR 99 North (SR 99)	Highest potential property impacts
	More difficult car access
	Greater construction challenges (guideway parallels high-voltage transmission lines; wide crossing over SR 99 at 327th)  High was a subtractive for the lines of the line
	Higher ecosystem potential impacts  Uighert proliminary actimated.
	Highest preliminary estimate <sup>1</sup> es are not the project's hudget. They are for use as comparisons between alternatives.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Preliminary estimates are not the project's budget. They are for use as comparisons between alternatives.