

# City of Tacoma

# Legislation Details (With Text)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
1. RES-34935	5.pdf			
Resolution No	o. 34935			
10/17/2000		Final action:	10/17/2000	
10/17/2000		In control:	City Council	
Resolution		Status:	Adopted	
RES-34935	Version: 1	Name:	Rules and Procedures for Hearings	
	Resolution 10/17/2000 10/17/2000 Resolution No	Resolution 10/17/2000	ResolutionStatus:10/17/2000In control:10/17/2000Final action:Resolution No. 34935	ResolutionStatus:Adopted10/17/2000In control:City Council10/17/2000Final action:10/17/2000Resolution No. 34935Status:Status:

Req.#8249

# **RESOLUTION NO. 34935**

2	WHEREAS Tacoma Municipal Code Chapter 1.23 provides for Rules of
3	Procedure ("Rules") for the Hearing Examiner, and
4	WHEREAS abandon to the Dulas for bearings are personent in order to
5	WHEREAS changes to the Rules for hearings are necessary in order to
6	conform them to recent changes in the law, clarify ambiguities, and correct
7	errors; Now, Therefore,
8	BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TACOMA-
9	That the proper officers of the City are hereby authorized to approve the
10 	Draft Amendments to the Rules of Procedure for Hearings for the Hearing
12	Examiner for the purposes hereinabove enumerated, said document to be
13	substantially in the form of the proposed amendment on file in the office of the
14	City Clerk.
15	
16	Adopted OCT 1 7 2000
17	
18	
19	Attest: City Clerk
20	

21	Approved as to	form and legalit	iy:				
22							
23 24	Assistani 6@y A	At@drney					
25							
26							
LEG 004 (	res8249.doc-KJC 11/89)	C/1ad					
	REQUES	T FOR ORI)INA	NCE	CITY CLE	Request#-		
.Mom	ORI	RESOLUTION		Ordir	nance		
			Res	solution			
1. DATE:	September 21, 2	000					
2. REQL	JESTING DEPAR	TMENT/DmSIO	N/PROGRA	M 3. (	CONTACT F	PERSON (for questions):	PHONFAXTENSION
HEAR	ING EXAMINER		Sue Ev	/ans	5196		
4. PREP 17,200		SOLUTION IS	REQUESTEI	D FOR THE (		CIL mIEETING OF TUES	SDAY OCTOBER
5. SUMI	N[ARY TITLE/REG	COMAUNDATIO	ON: (A concis	se sentence,	as it will app	ear on the Council Ager	ıda)
Reque Exami		the Draft Ameno	dents to the F	Rules of Proc	edure for He	earings for the Hearing	
	GROUND INFOR ements? What are					lest necessary? Are ther he process?)	e legal
	anges to the Rule es in the law and t					the Rules to recent nt Rules.	
7. FINAN	ICIAL IMPACT: (F	uture impact or	n the budget.)	)			
8. LIST A	Source Docume	ents/13ackup Ma	aterial	Locat	tion of Docur	EQUEST AND INDICAT	E WHERE FILED:
	Letter to the Ho Draft Amendme				ached ched		
		nter amount of t ate S		each source) Other S	) Total Am	nount	
lf an ex	xpenditure, is it bu	udgeted? Y	es El No	Cn Where? Org	9	wict	
10. ATTC	ORNEY CONTAC	T: (Enter Name	of Attorney t	hat you've be	en working v	with)	
				J -4-			

Approved as to Availability of Funds						
11. DepartmentDirector/Utility 2tioln Approval	fitimanwr1% Director of Finance					
GSW,WgrlDommmu	0 Offi- Systemsfl (01199)					
Tacoma City of bcoma Hearing Examiner						
September 21, 2000						
Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Tacoma, Washington						
RE: Proposed Amendments to Hearing E Hearings	xaminer Rules of Procedure for					
Attached are proposed amendments to the H Hearings. For the most part, the amendment to recent changes in the law, to clarify ambigu Rules. The changes proposed to the Rules a	s proposed are intended to conform the Rules uities, and to correct errors in the current					
I. The proposed changes to Rule 1.04 the rule to recently enacted Tacoma 13.05.01015 (Ordinance No. 26645) issuance of Hearing Examiner's deci	Municipal Code (7MC) relating to time limits for					
<ol> <li>Changes to Rule 1.08.5 address the proceedings before the Hearing Exan of 7MC 1.23.105 and further clarify a Code section as to whether an attorn subpoenas under his or her signature</li> </ol>	niner and track the language n ambiguity in the referred-to ey for a party may issue					
<ol> <li>Proposed amendments to Rule 1. 17 - Termination of Jurisdiction are intended to allow correction of Hearing Examiner decisions and recommendations beyond the time period for termination of jurisdiction.</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>Change in language to Rule 2. 1 0(c existing rule.</li> </ol>	) merely corrects an error in the					
<ol> <li>The amendments proposed to Rule change in the City's Code (Ordinance provides for reconsideration in land u</li> </ol>	e No. 26645) that now					
<ol> <li>The proposed deletion of "Application assessments" in Rule 3.01 reflects the from the jurisdiction of the Hearing E</li> </ol>	e elimination of such matters					
747 Market Strect. Room 720 1 Tacoma, Fas	shion 98402-3768 1 (253) 591-5195 1 Fax (253) 591-2003					
City Council September 21, 2000						
Page 2 7. New section 3.06.5 Default is intended to provisions applicable to appellants in app and petitioners (moving parties) in pre-de	peal matters to applicants					

Pursuant to IMC 1.23. 100, the City Council is required to adopt the Rules under which Hearing Examiner proceedings are conducted.

AKE Hearing Examiner

/ck

Attached: Draft Amendments Rules of Procedure for Hearings

#### 1.04 Computation of Time

In the eempetatien of any period of time prescribed or- allowed in any mat4er befi3re the Hearing Examiner-, the day ftem which the time per-ied begins te f@un shall net be included.

When the last. day ef the per-ied falls en a weekend er- legal heliday, the per-ied shall pan until the nex4 werldng day.

Time Computation. In computing any time period set forth in this chapter, the day of the act or event from which the designated period of time begins to run shall not be included. The last day of the period so computed shall be included, unless it is a Saturd4y, a Sunday, or a legal holiday, in which event the period runs until the end of the ne2q day which is neither Saturday or Sunday, nor a legal holiday. Legal holidays are described in RCW 1. 16.050.

#### 1.08.5 Subpoenas (New Section)

As authorized by 7MC 1.23. 100 and. 105, subpoenas may be issued by the Examiner compelling the appearance of witnesses and the production of documents and may be served by any person 18 years of age or over, competent to be a witness, but who is not a party to the matter for which the subpoena is issued. Provided, that a subpoena may be issued with like effect by the attorney of record of the party to the matter in whose behalf the witness is required to appear and the form of such subpoena in each case may be the same as one issued by the Examiner except that it shall only be subscribed by the signature of such attorney.

Each witness subpoenaed shall be allowed the same fees and mileage as 12rovided by law to be 12aid witnesses in the courts of records in the state.

Subpoenas issued in the matter before the Examiner may be enforced in the Tacoma Municipal Court in accordance with 7MC 1.23.105.C.

Note: The foregoing rule in regard to the issuance of subpoenas closely tracks the language of TMC 1. 23. 1 00 and .1 05, except that it expressly authorizes subpoenas to be issued by and under signature of attorneys of record in a proceeding. 7MC 1.23,105 is ambiguous in regard to service and signature by an attorney of record in a matter. Subsection. 105.A only refers to issuance of a subpoena by the Hearing Examiner-, however, in Subsection. 105. C it is stated in pertinent part, "... The Hearing Examiner or attorney issuing a subpoena may petition the Tacoma Municipal Court. .

#### 1.17 Termination of Jurisdiction

The jurisdiction of the Examiner terminates upon the end of the period for appealing or seeking review of the Examiner's decision or recommendation. Until that finle-, eerfections in the decision er-fecemmendatieft may be made by the Examiner- en his er-

her- e en fnetiefl ef a party ef r-eeerd. Notwithstanding the foreszoiM clerical mistakes in decisions, orders, or recommendations and errors therein arisinp, from oversight or omission may be corrected by the Examiner at any time on his or her own motion or on the motion of a patty of record or if such decision, order, or recommendation is appealed, such mistakes may be so corrected before review is accepted by the reviewing authqdt .

- \_у

2.10 Dismissal Prior to Hearing

(c) The appellant lacks standing to bring the appeal. (See Rule 2-03 2.04)

2.20 Reconsideration

## File #: RES-34935, Version: 1

(a) Emeept in eases involving appeals ftem deeisiens of the Land Use Administrater- er- of the Fespensible official under- the State Environmental Peliey Aet, Any party feeling that the decision of the Examiner is based on errors of procedure, fact or law may make a written request for reconsideration within 14 calendar days of the issuance of the Examiner's decision. This request shall set forth the alleged errors, and the Examiner may, after review of the record, take such further action as is deemed appropriate, which may include the issuance of a revised decision.

#### Section 3

### RULES FOR PRE-DECISION HEARINGS

3.01 Matters Subject to Pre-Decision Hearings

Pre-decision hearings will be held on the following matters:

(b) Applieatiens fer epen spaee eufT-ent use assessments

(e"b Dangerous sidewalk proceedings

(d)(c) Petitions for street and alley vacations

(e)(d) Applications for preliminary plat approval

In all of these matters, except (OUd, the Examiner makes a recommendation to the City Council and the Council makes the final decision. The Examiner's decision on a request for preliminary plat approval is the final decision of the City.

Resolution No. 34935 Adopted: OCT 1 7 2000 Maker of Motion: Seconded:

Roll Call Vote:

MEMBERS AYES NAYS ABSTAIN ABSENT Mr. Crowley Mr. De Forrest L----Mr.Evans Mr. Kirby Dr. McGavick Mr. Miller Ms. Moss LI---Mr. Phelps Mayor Ebersole

MEMBERS	AYES	NAYS	ABSTAIN	ABSENT
Mr. Crowley				
Mr. De Forrest				
Mr.Evans				
Mr. Kirby				
Dr. McGavick				
Mr. Miller				
Ms. Moss				
Mr. Phelps				
Mayor Ebersole	)			

g:lc&yclkVorrnsVeso1. doc